



TITLE:

# Surgery construction of renormalizable polynomials (Complex dynamics and related fields)

AUTHOR(S):

Inou, Hiroyuki

---

CITATION:

Inou, Hiroyuki. Surgery construction of renormalizable polynomials (Complex dynamics and related fields). 数理解析研究所講究録 2002, 1269: 12-23

ISSUE DATE:

2002-06

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/42151>

RIGHT:

# Surgery construction of renormalizable polynomials

Hiroyuki Inou\*

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University

January 30, 2002

## Abstract

Renormalization can be considered as an operator extracting from a given polynomial a skew map on  $\mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{C}$  over  $k \rightarrow (k+1)$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  whose restriction on each fiber is a polynomial. By using a quasiconformal surgery, we construct the inverse of this renormalization operator in some case, that is, from a given  $N$ -polynomial with fiberwise connected Julia sets, gluing  $N$ -sheets of the complex plane together and construct a polynomial having a renormalization of period  $N$  which is hybrid equivalent to it and whose small filled Julia sets have a repelling fixed point of the constructed polynomial.

## 1 $N$ -polynomial maps

We first give a notion of  $N$ -polynomial maps. An  $N$ -polynomial map is simply a skew map from a union of  $N$  sheets of the complex plane  $\mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{C}$  to itself, whose restriction of each sheet is a polynomial mapped to the next sheet. We can easily generalize the theory on dynamics of usual polynomials to  $N$ -polynomial maps. In this section, we give an overview of its dynamical properties. Furthermore, we consider a renormalization of a given polynomial as an  $N$ -polynomial-like restriction. So we can also consider it as the operator extracting an  $N$ -polynomial map from a given polynomial.

**Definition.** Let  $N > 0$ . An  $N$ -polynomial map is an  $N$ -tuple of polynomials. An  $N$ -polynomial map  $F = (F_0, \dots, F_{N-1})$  is considered as a map on  $\mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{C}$  to itself as follows:

$$F(k, z) = (k+1, F_k(z)).$$

---

\*Partially supported by JSPS Research Fellowship for Young Scientists.

The *filled Julia set*  $K(F)$  is the set of all points whose forward orbits by  $F$  are bounded. The *Julia set*  $J(F)$  is the boundary of  $K(F)$ . The  $k$ -th *small filled Julia set* is defined by  $K_k(F) = \{z \mid (k, z) \in K(F)\}$  and  $k$ -th *small Julia set*  $J_k(F) = \partial K_k(F)$ .

**Definition.** An  $N$ -polynomial-like map is an  $N$ -tuple of holomorphic proper maps  $F = (F_k : U_k \rightarrow V_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$  such that:

- $U_k$  and  $V_k$  are topological disks in  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- $U_k$  is a relatively compact subset of  $V_k$ .

We also consider an  $N$ -polynomial-like map  $F$  as a map between disjoint union of disks:

$$F : \bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} U_k \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N} V_k \quad F|_{U_k} = F_k.$$

The  $k$ -th *small filled Julia set*  $K_k(F)$  is defined by

$$K_k(F) = \{z \in U_k \mid F^n(z) \in U_{n+k}\}$$

and the  $k$ -th *small Julia set*  $J_k(F)$  is defined by the boundary of  $K_k(F)$ . The (*resp. filled*) *Julia set* is defined by the disjoint union of the  $k$ -th small (*resp. filled*) Julia sets. We say the (filled) Julia set is *fiberwise connected* if  $k$ -th small (filled) Julia set is connected for any  $k$ .

For an  $N$ -polynomial or an  $N$ -polynomial-like map  $F = (F_k)$ , we write

$$F_k^n = F_{k+n-1} \circ \cdots \circ F_{k+1} \circ F_k,$$

so that  $F^n(k, z) = (k + n, F_k^n(z))$ .

Although the degree of an  $N$ -polynomial map (or an  $N$ -polynomial-like map)  $F$  is not well-defined ( $\deg(F_k)$  may be different), the degree of  $F^N$  is well-defined (it is equal to  $\prod \deg(F_k)$ ). In this paper, we always assume  $\deg F^N > 1$ .

**Definition.** Let  $F = (F_k : U_k \rightarrow V_{k+1})$  and  $G = (G_k : U'_k \rightarrow V'_{k+1})$  be  $N$ -polynomial-like maps. We say  $F$  and  $G$  are *hybrid equivalent* if there exist quasiconformal homeomorphisms  $\phi_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ ) between some neighborhoods of  $K_k(F)$  and  $K_k(G)$  such that  $G_k \circ \phi_k = \phi_{k+1} \circ F_k$  and  $\bar{\partial}\phi_k \equiv 0$  on  $K(F, k)$ .

**Theorem 1.1 (Straightening theorem for  $N$ -polynomial-like maps).** *For any  $N$ -polynomial-like map  $F$ , there exist an  $N$ -polynomial map  $G$  of the same degree as  $F$  (that is,  $\deg(F_k) = \deg(G_k)$  for all  $k$ ) hybrid equivalent to  $F$ .*

*Furthermore, if  $F$  has fiberwise connected Julia set, then  $G$  is unique up to affine conjugacy.*

Usually, we consider a renormalization as a polynomial-like map with connected Julia set which is a restriction of some iterate of a polynomial. But here, we consider it as an  $N$ -polynomial-like map;

**Definition.** A polynomial  $f$  is *renormalizable* if there exist disks  $U_k$  and  $V_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ ) such that:

- $G = (f : U_k \rightarrow V_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$  is an  $N$ -polynomial-like map with fiberwise connected Julia set.
- $U_k \cap U_{k'}$  contains no critical point of  $f$  if  $k \neq k'$ .
- When  $N = 1$ ,  $U_0$  does not contain all the critical points of  $f$ .

We call  $G$  a *renormalization* of period  $N$ .

The small filled Julia sets of a renormalization are “almost disjoint” (they intersect only at a repelling periodic orbit [Mc], [In]). So we define the (*resp. filled*) *Julia set* of a renormalization by the union (not the *disjoint* union) of the small (*resp. filled*) Julia sets.

We may assume an  $N$ -polynomial map  $F$  is monic (that is, each  $F_k$  is monic). Let  $\Delta = \{|z| < 1\}$ . Easy calculation shows:

**Proposition 1.2 (The existence of the Böttcher coordinates).** *For a given monic  $N$ -polynomial map  $F$ , there exist conformal maps  $\varphi_k : (\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\Delta}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C} \setminus K(F, k))$  such that  $\varphi_{k+1}(z^{\deg F_k}) = F_k \circ \varphi_k(z)$ .*

In fact, we only take  $\varphi_k$  the Böttcher coordinate for the monic polynimoal  $F_{k-1} \circ \dots \circ F_{k+1} \circ F_k$ .

So, we can define external rays for  $F$  just as the usual polynomial case.

**Definition.** Let  $F$ ,  $\varphi_k$  as above. The  $k$ -th external ray  $\mathcal{R}_k(F; \theta)$  of angle  $\theta$  for an  $N$ -polynomial map  $F$  is defined by:

$$\mathcal{R}_k(F; \theta) = \left\{ \varphi(r \exp(2\pi i \theta)) \mid 1 < r < \infty \right\}.$$

If the limit

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi(r \exp(2\pi i \theta))$$

exists, say  $x$ , then we say  $\mathcal{R}_k(F; \theta)$  lands at  $x$  and  $\theta$  is the landing angle for  $(k, x)$ .

Let  $R > 1$ . We also define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_k(F; \theta, R) &= \left\{ \varphi(r \exp(2\pi i \theta)) \mid 1 < r < \infty \right\}, \\ \mathcal{R}_k(F; \theta, R, \epsilon) &= \left\{ \varphi(r \exp(2\pi i \eta)) \mid 1 < r < \infty, \eta = \theta + \epsilon \log r \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

If  $\mathcal{R}(F; \theta)$  lands at  $x$ , then  $\mathcal{R}(F; \theta, R, \epsilon)$  also converges to  $x$ . By the proposition above,

$$\begin{aligned}F(\mathcal{R}_k(F; \theta)) &= \mathcal{R}_{k+1}(F; \deg(F_k) \cdot \theta), \\ F(\mathcal{R}_k(F; \theta, R)) &= \mathcal{R}_{k+1}(F; \deg(F_k) \cdot \theta, R^{\deg(F_k)}), \\ F(\mathcal{R}_k(F; \theta, R, \epsilon)) &= \mathcal{R}_{k+1}(F; \deg(F_k) \cdot \theta, R^{\deg(F_k)}, \epsilon).\end{aligned}$$

We say the ray is *periodic* if  $F^n(\mathcal{R}_k(F; \theta)) = \mathcal{R}_k(F; \theta)$  for some  $n > 0$ . The least such  $n$  is called the period of this ray. Clearly, the period of every periodic point is divisible by  $N$ .

Let  $x = (k, z)$  be a periodic point of  $F$  with period  $n$ . If  $x$  is repelling or parabolic, then there are finite number of rays landing at  $x$  and they have the same period. Let  $q$  be the number of rays landing at  $x$  and let  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_q$  be the angle of these rays ordered counterclockwise. Since  $F^n$  permutes the rays landing at  $x$  and it preserves the cyclic order of them, there exist  $p$  such that  $F^n(\mathcal{R}_k(F; \theta_i)) = \mathcal{R}_k(F; \theta_{i+p})$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ . We say that the (*combinatorial*) *rotation number* of this point  $x$  is  $p/q$ .

We also consider external rays for  $N$ -polynomial-like maps. They are defined by the inverse images of external rays for  $N$ -polynomial maps by the hybrid conjugacy in Proposition 1.1.

## 2 Results

Let  $F$  be an  $N$ -polynomial map with fiberwise connected Julia set and  $O = \{(k, x_k) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_N\}$  be a repelling periodic orbit of period  $N$  with rotation number  $p_0/q_0$ .

**Definition.** We say a polynomial  $(g, x)$  with marked fixed point  $x$  is a *p-rotatory intertwining* of  $(F, O)$  if:

- $g$  has a renormalization of period  $N$  hybrid equivalent to  $F$ .
- $x$  corresponds to  $O$  by the hybrid conjugacy.
- $x$  has a rotation number  $p/(Nq_0)$ .

- $\deg(g) = \sum(\deg(F_k) - 1) + 1$ . (Equivalently, all critical points of  $g$  lie in the filled Julia set of the renormalization above.)

Note that the filled Julia set of such a polynomial is connected.

To construct a  $p$ -rotatory intertwining of  $(F, O)$ , we need some combinatorial property of the dynamics near the fixed point  $x$ .

**Definition.** A 4-tuple of integers  $(N, p_0, q_0, p)$  is admissible if  $p \equiv p_0 \pmod{q_0}$  and  $p$  and  $N$  are relatively prime.

Note that the above definition also makes sense when  $N$  and  $q_0$  are integers,  $p_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{q_0}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{Nq_0}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *If a  $p$ -rotatory intertwining of  $(F, O)$  exists, then  $(N, p_0, q_0, p)$  is admissible.*

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $F$  be an  $N$ -polynomial map with fiberwise connected Julia set and  $O = \{(k, x_k)\}$  is a repelling periodic orbit of period  $N$  with rotation number  $p_0/q_0$ .*

*When an integer  $p$  satisfies that  $(N, p_0, q_0, p)$  is admissible, then there exists a  $p$ -rotatory intertwining  $(g, x)$  of  $(F, O)$  and it is unique up to affine conjugacy.*

The following two sections are devoted to prove this theorem.

### 3 Construction

In this section, we prove the existence part of Theorem 2.2. We use the idea of the intertwining surgery [EY].

Let  $(F, O)$  be an  $N$ -polynomial map with marked periodic point satisfying the assumption of Theorem 2.2. Fix  $R > 0$  and let

$$V_k = \left\{ (k, z) \mid |\varphi_k(z)| < R \right\} \cup K_k(F)$$

and  $U_k = F_k^{-1}(V_{k+1})$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} = \bigsqcup V_k$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \bigsqcup U_k$ . Then  $(F_k : U_k \rightarrow V_{k+1})$  is an  $N$ -polynomial-like map (we also use the word  $F$  for it and write  $F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ ).

Let  $\theta_0, \dots, \theta_{q_0-1}$  be all the external angles for  $(0, x_0)$  ordered counterclockwise.

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $0 < \delta < \epsilon/2$ . For  $0 \leq k < N$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{q_0}$ , consider arcs

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_0(k + Nd) &= \mathcal{R}_0 \left( F; \theta_k, R, \left( \frac{k}{N} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \epsilon \right), \\ \gamma_0^\pm(k + Nd) &= \mathcal{R}_0 \left( F; \theta_k, R, \left( \frac{k}{N} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \epsilon \pm \delta \right). \end{aligned}$$

When  $\epsilon$  is sufficiently small, these arcs are mutually disjoint. For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{Nq_0}$ , let

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_k(j) &= F_k(\gamma_{k-1}(j-p) \cap U_{k-1}), \\ \gamma_k^\pm(j) &= F_k(\gamma_{k-1}^\pm(j-p) \cap U_{k-1})\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, N-1$ . Let  $S_k(j)$  (resp.  $L_k(j)$ ) be the sectors in  $V_k$  between  $\gamma_k(j-1)$  and  $\gamma_k(j)$  (resp.  $\gamma_k^+(j-1)$  and  $\gamma_k^-(j)$ ).

Then, since the rotation number of  $x_0$  for  $F_0^N$  is  $p_0/q_0$ , we can easily verify  $F_0^N(\gamma_0(j) \cap F_0^{-N}(V_0)) = \gamma_0(j + Np_0)$ . Therefore, by the assumption that  $(N, p_0, q_0, p)$  is admissible,

$$\begin{aligned}F_{N-1}(\gamma_{N-1}(j-p) \cap U_{N-1}) &= F_0^N(\gamma_0(j-Np) \cap F_0^{-N-1}(U_{N-1})) \\ &= \gamma_0(j-Np + Np_0) \\ &= \gamma_0(j).\end{aligned}$$

This equation also holds for  $\gamma_k^\pm$  instead of  $\gamma_k$ . Therefore, the equation (1) holds for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ .

Since  $O$  is repelling, it is linearizable. Namely, there are a neighborhood  $O_k$  of  $x_k$  and a map  $\psi_k : O_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  for each  $k$  such that  $\psi_k(x_k) = 0$  and  $\psi_{k+1} \circ F_k(z) = \lambda_k \psi_k(z)$  on  $O'_k$ , where  $\lambda_k = F'_k(x_k)$  and  $O'_k$  is the component of  $F_k^{-1}(O_{k+1})$  containing  $x_k$ .

For each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{Nq_0}$ , the quotient space  $(L_k(j) \cap O_k)/F_k^{Nq_0}$  is an annulus of finite modulus. So we denote the modulus of this quotient annulus by  $\text{mod } L_k(j)$ . Since  $F_k$  maps  $L_k(j) \cap O'_k$  univalently to  $L_{k+1}(j+p) \cap O_{k+1}$ , we have  $\text{mod } L_k(j) = \text{mod } L_{k+1}(j+p)$ .

Now we deform the  $N$ -polynomial-like map  $F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  by a hybrid conjugacy so that we can identify  $N$  disks  $V_0 \dots V_{N-1}$  quasiconformally and define a quasiregular map on it.

**Lemma 3.1.** *There exists an  $N$ -polynomial-like map  $\hat{F} = (\hat{F}_k : \hat{U}_k \rightarrow \hat{V}_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$  hybrid equivalent to  $F$  such that the sector  $\hat{L}_k(j)$  which corresponds to  $L_k(j)$  satisfies that*

$$\text{mod } \hat{L}_k(j) = \text{mod } \hat{L}_{k'}(j)$$

for any  $k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}_N$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{Nq_0}$ .

Let  $\hat{x}_k, \hat{\gamma}_k(j), \hat{\gamma}_k^\pm(j), \hat{S}_k(j), \hat{O}_k$  and  $\hat{O}'_k$  correspond to  $x_k, \gamma_k(j), \gamma_k^\pm(j), S_k(j), O_k$  and  $O'_k$  respectively by the hybrid conjugacy in the above lemma.

Now we construct quasiconformal maps  $\tau_k : \hat{V}_0 \rightarrow \hat{V}_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ ) to identify  $\hat{V}_0, \dots, \hat{V}_{N-1}$  together. First of all, take  $C^1$  diffeomorphisms

$$\tilde{\tau}_k : \bigcup_j \hat{\gamma}_k(j) \rightarrow \bigcup_j \hat{\gamma}_{k+1}(j)$$

$$\hat{F}_{k+1} \circ \tilde{\tau}_k = \tilde{\tau}_{k+1} \circ \hat{F}_k \quad (2)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_k(\hat{\gamma}_k(j)) = \hat{\gamma}_{k+1}(j) \quad (3)$$

and let  $\tau_k = \tau_{k-1} \circ \dots \circ \tau_0$  on  $\bigcup \hat{\gamma}_k(j)$ . Next, let  $\tau_k|_{\hat{L}_0(j)} : \hat{L}_0(j) \rightarrow \hat{L}_k(j)$  be the conformal isomorphism which sends  $x_0$  to  $x_k$ ,  $\hat{\gamma}_0^+(j-1)$  to  $\hat{\gamma}_k^+(j-1)$ , and  $\hat{\gamma}_0^-(j)$  to  $\hat{\gamma}_k^-(j)$ .

The following lemma is due to Bielefeld [Bi, Lemma 6.4, 6.5].

**Lemma 3.2.** *We can extend  $\tau_k$  quasiconformally to  $\tau_k : \hat{V}_0 \rightarrow \hat{V}_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ ).*

Let  $V = \hat{V}_0$  and

$$U = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_q, k=0, \dots, N-1} \tau_k^{-1} \left( \overline{\hat{S}_k(jN + kp)} \cap \hat{U}_k \right).$$

Define a quasiregular map  $g : U \rightarrow V$  as follows. When  $z \in \hat{S}_0(jN + kp) \cap U$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{q_0}$ , let

$$\tilde{g}(z) = \tau_{k+1}^{-1} \circ \hat{F}_k \circ \tau_k(z).$$

By (2),  $\tilde{g}$  extends continuously on  $U$ .

**Lemma 3.3.**

1.  $\tilde{g}(\bigcup(\hat{S}_0(j) \setminus \hat{L}_0(j)) \cap U) \subset \bigcup(\hat{S}_0(j) \setminus \hat{L}_0(j))$ . Namely,  $E = \bigcup \hat{S}_0(j) \setminus \hat{L}_0(j)$  is forward invariant by  $\tilde{g}$ .
2.  $\tau_k \circ \tilde{g}^N \circ \tau_k^{-1}$  is conformal on  $\hat{S}_k(jN + kp) \setminus \hat{L}_k(jN + kp)$ .

Let  $\sigma_0$  be the standard complex structure. On  $\hat{S}_0(jN + kp) \setminus \hat{L}_0(jN + kp)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0 &= (\tau_k \circ \tilde{g}^N \circ \tau_k^{-1})^*(\sigma_0) \\ &= (\tau_k^*)^{-1} \circ (\tilde{g}^N)^*(\tau_k^* \sigma_0). \end{aligned}$$

by the previous lemma. Therefore,

$$(\tilde{g}^N)^*(\tau_k^* \sigma_0) = \tau_k^* \sigma_0 \quad (4)$$

on  $\hat{S}_0(jN + kp) \setminus \hat{L}_0(jN + kp)$ .

So define an almost complex structure  $\sigma$  on  $V$  as follows:

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} (\tau_k \circ \tilde{g}^n)^* \sigma_0 & \text{on } \tilde{g}^{-n}(\hat{S}_0(Nj + kp)). \\ \sigma_0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$



**Lemma 3.4.**  $\sigma$  is well-defined and it is really a complex structure.

*Proof.* On  $\hat{S}_0(Nj + kp) \setminus \hat{L}_0(jN + kp)$  ( $1 \geq k < N$ ),

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{g}^* \sigma &= (\tau_k^{-1} \circ F_{k-1} \circ \tau_{k-1})^* (\tau_k^* \sigma_0) \\ &= \tau_{k-1}^* (F_{k-1}^* \sigma_0) \\ &= \tau_{k-1}^* \sigma_0 \\ &= \sigma.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, together with (4),  $\sigma$  is invariant under  $\tilde{g}$  on  $E$ . (Note that  $E$  is forward invariant by  $\tilde{g}$ .) Since  $\sigma \neq \sigma_0$  only on  $\bigcup \tilde{g}^{-n}(E)$ ,  $\sigma$  is well-defined.

Furthermore,  $\tilde{g}$  is conformal except on  $\tilde{g}^{-1}(E)$ . So the maximal dilatation of  $\sigma$  on  $V$  is equal to that of  $\sigma$  on  $\tilde{g}^{-1}(E)$ , which is bounded. So  $\sigma$  is a complex structure.  $\square$

Therefore, there exists a quasiconformal mapping  $h : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $h^* \sigma_0 = \sigma$ .  $\hat{g} = h \circ \tilde{g} \circ h$  is a polynomial-like map, so there exists a polynomial  $g$  hybrid equivalent to  $\hat{g}$ .

It is easy to check this  $g$  is a  $p$ -rotatory intertwining of  $F$ .

## 4 Uniqueness

In this section, we show that two  $p$ -rotatory intertwinings  $(g, x)$  and  $(g', x')$  of  $(F, O)$  are affinely conjugate.

### 4.1 Puzzles

Let  $(g, x)$  be a  $p$ -rotatory intertwining of an  $N$ -polynomial map  $(F, O)$  with marked periodic point of period  $N$ . Denote  $\mathcal{K}$  by the filled Julia set of the renormalization  $G = (g : U_k \rightarrow V_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$  corresponding to  $F$ . Let  $\omega_0, \dots, \omega_{Nq-1}$  be the landing angles of  $x$  ordered counterclockwise.

Let  $\varphi : (\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\Delta}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C} \setminus K(g))$  be the Böttcher coordinate of  $g$ . Fix  $R > 0$  and small  $\epsilon > 0$  so that sectors

$$\tilde{S}_{0,j} = \left\{ \varphi(r \exp(2\pi i \theta)) \mid 1 < r < R, |\theta - \omega_j| < \epsilon \log r \right\}.$$

are mutually disjoint. Let  $D_0 = \varphi(\{|z| < R\}) \cup K(g)$  and  $D_n = g^{-n}(D_0)$  for  $n > 0$ . Let  $\tilde{P}_{0,j}$  be the component of  $D_0 \setminus \bigcup \tilde{S}_{0,j}$  between  $\tilde{S}_{0,j-1}$  and  $\tilde{S}_{0,j}$ . Let  $S_{0,j} = \overline{\tilde{S}_{0,j}}$ ,

$P_{0,j} = \overline{\tilde{P}_{0,j}}$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_n &= \left\{ \text{the closures of components of } g^{-n}(\tilde{P}_{0,j}) \ (j \in \mathbb{Z}_{Nq}) \right\} \\ \mathcal{S}_n &= \left\{ \text{the closures of components of } g^{-n}(\tilde{S}_{0,j}) \ (j \in \mathbb{Z}_{Nq}) \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

We call an element of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  a *piece of depth  $n$*  and an element of  $\mathcal{S}_n$  a *sector of depth  $n$* . Then  $\mathcal{P}_n$  and  $\mathcal{S}_n$  have the following properties. Let  $n \geq 0$ .

1.  $\mathcal{P}_n \cup \mathcal{S}_n$  is a partition of  $\overline{D_n}$ .
2. For any  $x \in K(g) \setminus \bigcup_j g^{-j}(x)$ , there exists a unique piece  $P_n(x)$  of depth  $n$  which contains  $x$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{P}_n$  covers  $K(g)$ .
3. For any  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ , there exists some  $P' \in \mathcal{P}_n$  with  $P \subset P'$ .
4. When  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ , we have  $g(P) \in \mathcal{P}_n$ .
5. When  $S \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ , either there exists some  $S' \in \mathcal{S}_n$  with  $S = S' \cap D_{n+1}$ , or there exists some  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  with  $S \subset \text{int } P$ .
6. For any  $X \in \mathcal{P}_n \cup \mathcal{S}_n$ ,  $\text{int } X \cap g^{-n+1}(\mathcal{K}) \neq \emptyset$  or there exists a unique  $y \in g^{-n}(x_0)$  with  $y \in X$ .
7. For any  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , there exists a unique component  $E$  of  $g^{-n}(\mathcal{K} \setminus g^{-n}(x_0))$  with  $E \subset P$ . This map  $P \mapsto E$  is a bijection between  $\mathcal{P}_n$  and  $\{\text{components of } g^{-n}(\mathcal{K} \setminus g^{-n}(x_0))\}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *The set  $K(g) \setminus \bigcup_{n>0} g^{-n}(\mathcal{K})$  has zero Lebesgue measure.*

For a later use, we give a canonical form of the renormalization  $G$ . Take small  $r > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$ . For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{Nq}$ , let  $\hat{P}_{0,j}$  be the union of  $B(x, r)$  and the domain in  $D_0 \setminus B(x, r)$  between  $\mathcal{R}(g; \omega_{j-1} - \eta, R)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(g; \omega_j + \eta, R)$ . Let  $Q_j$  be the component of  $g^{-1}(\hat{P}_{0,j})$  which is contained in  $\hat{P}_{0,j}$ . Let  $U_k$  and  $V_k$  are disks obtained by smoothing the boundary of  $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_q} Q_{k+Nj}$  and  $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \hat{P}_{0,k+Nj}$ . Then  $G = (g : U_k \rightarrow V_{k+1})$  is a renormalization hybrid equivalent to  $F$ .

## 4.2 Proof of the uniqueness

Let  $(g, x)$  and  $(g', x')$  be two  $p$ -rotatory intertwining of an  $N$ -polynomial map  $(F, O)$  with a marked periodic point of rotation number  $p_0/q$ . We use the notation in section 4.1 for  $g$ . For  $g'$ , we attach a prime to each notation (e.g.,  $\mathcal{K}', D'_n, \mathcal{P}'_n, \mathcal{S}'_n, \dots$ ).

In this section, we show that  $g$  and  $g'$  are affinely conjugate. Since  $K(g)$  and  $K(g')$  are connected, we need only show that  $g$  and  $g'$  are hybrid equivalent. To do this, we first construct a standard hybrid conjugacy between renormalizations  $G$  and  $G'$ , next by pulling back it repeatedly, we construct a quasiconformal conjugacy between  $g$  and  $g'$ , and show it is actually a hybrid conjugacy.

**Lemma 4.2.** *There exists a quasiconformal map  $\Phi_0 : \overline{D_0} \rightarrow \overline{D'_0}$  satisfies the following:*

- $\bar{\partial}\Phi_0 \equiv 0$  on  $K(G)$ .
- $\Phi_0 \circ g = g' \circ \Phi_0$  on  $\bigcup(P_{1,j} \cup S_{0,j}) \cup \partial D_1$ .

*Proof.* For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ , take a  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism  $\tilde{\Phi}_k : \overline{V_k \setminus U_k} \rightarrow \overline{V'_k \setminus U'_k}$  which satisfies the following:

1.  $\tilde{\Phi}_k(\partial V_k) = \partial V'_k$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}_k(\partial U_k) = \partial U'_k$ .
2. For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{Nq}$  with  $P_{0,j} \subset V_k$  (equivalently,  $j \equiv k \pmod{N}$ ), we have  $\tilde{\Phi}_k(\partial(P_{0,j} \setminus U_k)) = \partial(P'_{0,j} \setminus U'_k)$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}_k(P_{0,j} \setminus U_k) = P'_{0,j} \setminus U'_k$ .
3. For  $z \in \partial U_k$ ,  $\Phi_{k+1}(g(z)) = g'(\Phi_k(z))$ .

As in [DH], we can extend  $\tilde{\Phi}_k$  to a diffeomorphism on  $\overline{V_k \setminus K_k(G)}$  to  $\overline{V'_k \setminus K_k(G')}$  by the equation  $\tilde{\Phi}_k(g(z)) = g'(\Phi_k(z))$ . Furthermore, since  $G$  and  $G'$  are hybrid equivalent (they are both hybrid equivalent to  $F$ ), this  $\tilde{\Phi}_k$  extends to a hybrid conjugacy of  $G$  to  $G'$ . (To do this, we should use [DH, Proposition 6]. So we need to check  $[\tilde{\Phi}_0, \psi, g^n, (g')^n] = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{deg(G^n)}$  where  $\psi$  is a given hybrid conjugacy of  $G$  and  $G'$  considered as classical polynomial-like maps. But it is trivial because of the property 2 above.)

Now we define  $\Phi_0$  first on  $\bigcup S_{0,j}$ . For each  $S_{0,j}$ , define a quasiconformal map  $\Phi_0|_{S_{0,j}} : S_{0,j} \rightarrow S'_{0,j}$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0 \circ g &= g' \circ \Phi_0, \\ \Phi_0|_{\mathcal{R}(g;\omega_j,R,-\epsilon)} &= \tilde{\Phi}_{j-1}|_{\mathcal{R}(g;\omega_j,R,-\epsilon)}, \\ \Phi_0|_{\mathcal{R}(g;\omega_j,R,+\epsilon)} &= \tilde{\Phi}_j|_{\mathcal{R}(g;\omega_j,R,+\epsilon)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0|_{\partial S_{j-1} \cap \partial D_0} &= \tilde{\Phi}_{j-1} && \text{on a neighborhood of } \varphi(R \exp(2\pi i(\omega_j - \epsilon \log R))), \\ \Phi_0|_{\partial S_j \cap \partial D_0} &= \tilde{\Phi}_j && \text{on a neighborhood of } \varphi(R \exp(2\pi i(\omega_j + \epsilon \log R))). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\hat{\Phi}_k : \overline{V_k \setminus U_k} \rightarrow \overline{V'_k \setminus U'_k}$  be a  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism such that for  $k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}_N$  and  $j, j' \in \mathbb{Z}_{Nq}$  with  $j \equiv k \pmod{N}$ ,

- $\hat{\Phi}_k = \tilde{\Phi}_k$  on  $\partial(V_k \setminus U_k)$ .
- $g' \circ \hat{\Phi}_k(z) = \tilde{\Phi}_k \circ g(z)$  when  $z$  lies in  $P_{0,j} \cap \partial D_1 \cap g^{-1}(P_{0,j})$ .
- $g' \circ \hat{\Phi}_k(z) = \Phi_0 \circ g(z)$  when  $z$  lies in  $P_{0,j} \cap \partial D_1 \cap g^{-1}(S_{0,j})$ .
- $\hat{\Phi}_k = \tilde{\Phi}_k$  on  $\partial(V_k \setminus U_k) \cap \partial P_j$ .

As in the case of  $\tilde{\Phi}_k$ , we can extend  $\hat{\Phi}_k$  quasiconformally to  $V_k$  and obtain hybrid equivalence between  $G$  and  $G'$ .

Now let  $\Phi_0 = \hat{\Phi}_k$  on  $P_j$  where  $k \equiv j \pmod{N}$ . It is easy to check this  $\Phi_0$  has the desired properties.  $\square$

Then we define  $\Phi_n : \overline{D_0} \rightarrow \overline{D'_0}$  inductively. Suppose  $\Phi_n$  is defined and satisfies:

- $\bar{\partial}\Phi_n \equiv 0$  on  $g^{-n}(\mathcal{K})$ .
- $\Phi_n \circ g = g' \circ \Phi_n$  on  $\bigcup g^{-n}(P_{1,j} \cup S_{0,j}) \cup \partial(D_1 \setminus D_{n+1})$ .

First of all, let  $\Phi_{n+1}|_{\overline{D_0} \setminus \overline{D_{n+1}}} = \Phi_n$ . Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ . When  $\text{int } P \cap g^{-n}(\mathcal{K}) \neq \emptyset$ , define  $\Phi_{n+1}|_P = \Phi_n$ . Otherwise, by the property 6 in p. 9, there exists a unique  $y \in g^{-n}(x) \in P$ . Let  $P' \cap \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  be the piece of depth  $n+1$  which combinatorially corresponds to  $P'$ , i.e. which satisfies that  $\Phi_n(g(P)) = g(P')$  and  $\Phi_n(y) \in P'$  (when  $y$  is not a critical point, such  $P'$  is unique. When  $y$  is a critical point,  $P'$  is determined by the cyclic order at  $y$  to make  $\Phi_n$  continuous). Then, since  $C(g) \subset \mathcal{K}$ ,  $g|_P$  is conformal and so is  $g'|_{P'}$ . So define

$$\Phi_{n+1}|_P = (g'|_{P'})^{-1} \circ \Phi_n \circ g : P \rightarrow P'.$$

(In other words,  $\Phi_{n+1}|_{\overline{D_0} \setminus \overline{D_{n+1}}}$  is defined by lifting  $\Phi_n$  by the branched covering  $g$  and  $g'$ .)

Then  $\Phi_{n+1}$  also satisfies the property above. First, we show the continuity of  $\Phi_{n+1}$ . By the construction,  $\Phi_{n+1}$  is continuous on and outside  $\overline{D_{n+1}}$ . Furthermore, for  $z \in \partial D_{n+1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{n+1}(z) &= (g'|_{P'})^{-1} \circ \Phi_n \circ g(z) \\ &= (g'|_{P'})^{-1} \circ g' \circ \Phi_n(z) \\ &= \Phi_n(z) \end{aligned}$$

by the second property above for  $\Phi_n$ . So  $\Phi_{n+1}$  is continuous.

For every  $X \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \cap \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ ,  $\Phi_{n+1}|_X$  is a quasiconformal homeomorphism from  $X$  to corresponding piece or sector for  $g'$  and so is  $\Phi_{n+1}|_{\overline{D_0} \setminus \overline{D_{n+1}}} = \Phi_n$ . Hence  $\Phi_{n+1}$  is a quasiconformal homeomorphism. By the construction, it is clear that  $\partial\Phi_{n+1} \equiv 0$  on  $g^{-n-1}(\mathcal{K})$ .

It is also clear that  $g' \circ \Phi_{n+1} = \Phi_{n+1} \circ g$  on  $E_{n+1} = \bigcup g^{-n-1}(P_{1,j} \cup S_{0,j})$ . Let  $z \in \partial D_{n+2} \setminus E_{n+1}$ . Then  $z$  lies in some  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  with  $\text{int } P \cap g^{-n}(\mathcal{K}) = \emptyset$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} g' \circ \Phi_{n+1}(z) &= g' \circ (g'|_{P'})^{-1} \circ \Phi_n \circ g(z) \\ &= \Phi_n \circ g(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g(z) \in \partial D_{n+1}$ , we have  $\Phi_n(g(z)) = \Phi_{n+1}(g(z))$  and the second property holds for  $\Phi_{n+1}$ .

Since all  $\Phi_n$  are quasiconformal with same dilatation ratio, it is equicontinuous. Furthermore,  $\Phi_n = \Phi_{n+1}$  except on  $D_{n+1} \setminus g^{-n}(\mathcal{K})$ . Therefore,  $\Phi = \lim \Phi_n$  exists and is quasiconformal. Also, it satisfies that  $\bar{\partial}\Phi \equiv 0$  on  $\bigcup g^{-n}(\mathcal{K})$  and that  $g' \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ g$ . Since  $K(g) \setminus \bigcup g^{-n}(\mathcal{K})$  has zero Lebesgue measure,  $\Phi$  is a hybrid conjugacy between  $g$  and  $g'$ .

Therefore, a  $p$ -rotatory intertwining of  $(F, O)$  is unique up to affine conjugacy.

## References

- [Bi] B. Bielefeld, *Changing the order of critical points of polynomials using quasiconformal surgery*, Thesis, Cornell, 1989.
- [DH] A. Douady and J. Hubbard, *On the dynamics of polynomial-like mappings*, Ann. sci. Éc. Norm. Sup., **18** (1985) 287-343.
- [EY] A. Epstein and M. Yampolsky, *Geography of the cubic connectedness locus I: Intertwining surgery*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. **32** (1999), 151-185.
- [In] H. Inou, *Renormalization and rigidity of polynomials of higher degree*, Journal of Math, Kyoto Univ., to appear.
- [Mc] C. McMullen, *Complex Dynamics and Renormalization*, Annals of Math Studies, vol. 135, 1994.
- [St] N. Steinmetz, *Rational Iteration*, de Gruyter, 1993.